

Why Hillary Clinton Cannot Be Indicted, Part 2

Edward D. Duvall
1 Jul 2016

Since my last essay as to why Hillary Clinton cannot be indicted, a few interesting things have happened.

First, President Barack "I lied, period" Obama stated on Fox News Sunday's 10 Apr 2016 episode:

"I do not talk to the Attorney General about pending investigations. I do not talk to the FBI directors about impending investigations. We have a strict line and have always maintained it. I guarantee it. I guarantee that there is no political influence in any investigation conducted by the Justice Department, or the FBI; not just in this case, but in any case. Full stop. Period. Nobody gets treated differently when it comes to the Justice Department because nobody is above the law."

There are several problems here. Obama said "impending" investigations, not "active" ones. He did not claim that no one on his staff (such as the President's Counsel) discusses investigations with the DoJ or FBI. Every time Obama says "period", you know he's lying, like the time he said you could keep your doctor and your health plan, "period". If there really is no political interference, surely there would be no need to assure the public about it.

Second, Obama endorsed Clinton on 9 Jun 2016. Now ask yourself, when was the last time any political figure endorsed another, if there was even a 1/100th of 1% chance that the latter could have legal problems? Never -- that isn't how politicians operate. He endorsed her because he knows she will not have any legal problems because he and his staff have taken steps to make sure of it.

Third, Bill Clinton met secretly with Attorney General Loretta Lynch at the Phoenix airport on 27 Jun 2016. He went out of his way to wait for her private plane, then requested access (which was granted), and they spoke for about 30 minutes. Of course, it was all about golf and grandchildren, if you are naive and gullible enough to believe it. The respective security details prevented anyone from taking pictures on the tarmac (a public place), and it was discovered only by local Phoenix reporter Christopher Sign (KNXV-TV) based on tips from his local contacts. What political figure, or lawyer, or government official would be dumb enough to meet secretly with the spouse of a person being investigated by their department? Ms. Lynch is not dumb. Today she stated that she would "accept" (not "act upon") the FBI's recommendation regarding Hillary Clinton. What was the plan before? Are we to believe that the fix was in before, but now that she met with Bill Clinton, she will go along with a criminal indictment if the FBI recommends it?

Here is what I believe will happen. As I said in my previous (8 Mar 2016), all the evidence against Hillary is being collected up to be destroyed or permanently sealed just the way Hillary wanted it. But the FBI report will state that she "or her staff" had "accidentally or inadvertently" committed some "errors of judgment" that would normally amount to "technicality-type" misdemeanors, but in view of her "outstanding public service", and "to avoid a political crisis", no charges will be recommended. So Lynch will be off the hook. Hillary, knowing the evidence is safely hidden or destroyed, will then pretend to issue an apology for some "inerrant carelessness by her staff". She will do her best to keep from bursting out laughing. Then the whole thing goes away.

That is how things work in banana republics, and that is how our federal government works when high-ranking political figures like Barack Obama, Bill Clinton, Loretta Lynch, and Hillary Clinton are involved. The one thing we do not know is how deep the corruption has pervaded the rank-and-file of the FBI and Justice Department.