

Real World Graduation: Question 74: Anti-Gay Activists

Edward D. Duvall
16 Mar 2019

Question 74

A certain homosexual man is consistently being harassed and intimidated by people who are opposed to the "gay lifestyle". He has been assaulted several times (requiring hospitalization), lives in fear for his life, and is thinking of buying a gun for self-defense. However, it is illegal to own, possess, or carry a gun in his city. The city is economically distressed, and he is unable to sell his house and move away. What should he do?

- a) File complaints with the police, and depend on them for protection.
- b) Turn "straight".
- c) Get a gang of gays together, go out and administer a beating one of his assailants; that will send a message to his abusers and solve the problem.
- d) Hire some lawyers and attempt to sue his antagonists for harassment and violations of his civil rights.
- e) A combination of a) and d).

Answer to Question 74

This is a trick question. All of the answers are wrong.

Answer a) is wrong because the police are not legally required to provide protection to anyone. The police are far too busy answering calls to spend much time protecting one person or a group. (They are not legally required to respond to a call for help, either.)

Answer b) might work, it might not, but why should a person change their personal habits because someone doesn't like them?

Answer c) is wrong, even if it were possible, because the gays would then be committing the same crime as their harassers.

Answer d) is wrong because it is too late for paper shuffling by lawyers.

The homosexual's opinion of the value of his own life is far more important than the opinion of some "legislator" who passed laws to prohibit gun ownership (in effect, outlawing self defense). The homosexual should get a gun regardless of how many laws he violates. If even 20% of gays armed themselves, and if even two or three of their abusers turned up dead or in the operating rooms, there will soon be far fewer incidents, because people who are offended by the gay lifestyle are not offended enough to die over it.

In fact, this is how Jim Crow ended. Contrary to some political views, Jim Crow was not abolished because some legislators passed some "equal voting rights" laws, or ended legal segregation. Those laws were passed in the 1960's, after Jim Crow was already on his deathbed, so to speak. Jim Crow was nearly dead because black people got guns and started shooting back at the Klan; the Klan was offended by black people asserting their right to life and liberty, but not offended enough to die over it.

Gun control does not work and those who favor it know it. Here's the proof. Require any police chief, Mayor, Governor, or legislator who favors gun control to sign the following statement:

I do hereby solemnly swear under penalty of perjury that the gun control laws in effect during my tenure in office guaranteed that no member of any criminal gang had any access to any firearm at any time for any reason during my tenure in office."

No official will ever sign such a statement because they know full well that "gun control" has no effect on the criminal element. It certainly has no effect on the government employees (who are generally exempted). It only affects the citizens, making them weaker and both the government and the criminals stronger. Care to guess why the government favors gun control?